|    | Page 1  |
|----|---|
| 1  | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT                      |
| 2  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK                       |
| 3  | Case No. 08-13555-scc                               |
| 4  | Adv. Case No. 08-01420-scc                          |
| 5  | x   |
| 6  | In the Matter of:                                   |
| 7  | LEHMAN BROTHERS, INC.,                              |
| 8  | Debtor.   |
| 9  | x   |
| 10 | SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION, et al., |
| 11 | Plaintiffs  |
| 12 | v.  |
| 13 | LEHMAN BROTHERS, INC.,                              |
| 14 | Defendant.  |
| 15 | x   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | U.S. Bankruptcy Court                               |
| 18 | One Bowling Green                                   |
| 19 | New York, New York                                  |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | April 27, 2015                                      |
| 22 | 2:03 PM   |
| 23 | BEFORE:   |
| 24 | HON SHELLEY C. CHAPMAN                              |
| 25 | U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE                               |

Page 2 Hearing re: Spanish Broadcasting Discovery Conference Hearing re: Doc#29323 Three Hundred Twenty-eighth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claim) Solely as to Certain Claim Transcribed by: Nicole Yawn

|    | Page 3  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1  | APPEARANCES:  |  |  |  |
| 2  | WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP                           |  |  |  |
| 3  | Attorneys for the Plan Administrator, Lehman Brothers |  |  |  |
| 4  | 1300 Eye Street, NW                                   |  |  |  |
| 5  | Suite 900   |  |  |  |
| 6  | Washington DC 20005-3314                              |  |  |  |
| 7  |   |  |  |  |
| 8  | BY: RALPH I. MILLER, ESQ.                             |  |  |  |
| 9  | DENISE ALVAREZ, ESQ.                                  |  |  |  |
| 10 | ALEXANDER NO. WOOLVERTON, ESQ.                        |  |  |  |
| 11 | JACQUELINE MARCUS, ESQ.                               |  |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |  |
| 13 | KAYE SCHOLER, LLP                                     |  |  |  |
| 14 | Attorney for Spanish Broadcasting                     |  |  |  |
| 15 | 250 West 55th Street                                  |  |  |  |
| 16 | New York, NY 10019-9710                               |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |  |
| 18 | BY: MADLYN GLEICH PRIMOFF, ESQ.                       |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |  |
| 20 | ALSO APPEARING:                                       |  |  |  |
| 21 | JOSEPH OTCHIN   |  |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |

|    | 1 g + 01 +1   |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
|    | Page 4  |  |  |  |
| 1  | PROCEEDINGS   |  |  |  |
| 2  | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good to see you, Jess.                |  |  |  |
| 3  | THE COURT: First of all, again, I'm so sorry for            |  |  |  |
| 4  | the law firm's loss   |  |  |  |
| 5  | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.                            |  |  |  |
| 6  | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.                            |  |  |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: today. And thank you for coming                  |  |  |  |
| 8  | down nonetheless.   |  |  |  |
| 9  | So I've read everything that you had to say. And            |  |  |  |
| 10 | I really want to try to take it above the level of the      |  |  |  |
| 11 | bickering that is obvious in terms of who's responsible for |  |  |  |
| 12 | the delay, because I don't know where we'd go with that. So |  |  |  |
| 13 | I want to try to just have a productive, definitive         |  |  |  |
| 14 | resolution of the issues with a firm deadline to complete.  |  |  |  |
| 15 | I don't really know what else to do with the past.          |  |  |  |
| 16 | We could reiterate it. It does seem as the one thing        |  |  |  |
| 17 | so, having said that, now I'll lead into it.                |  |  |  |
| 18 | I don't understand. There were agreed search                |  |  |  |
| 19 | terms. And then, right? There were agreed search terms,     |  |  |  |
| 20 | right?  |  |  |  |
| 21 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.                      |  |  |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. And then, no?                              |  |  |  |
| 23 | MS. PRIMOFF: My colleague, Mr. Joe Otchin is                |  |  |  |
| 24 | going to handle the hearing.                                |  |  |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay.  |  |  |  |

Page 5 1 MS. PRIMOFF: But I will address it. 2 THE COURT: At one point, there were agreed terms for the (indiscernible). 3 4 MS. PRIMOFF: But the agreement was always subject 5 to a condition that, if the search turned out to be 6 problematic --7 THE COURT: Okay. But then, we get -- okay. So 8 that's point one. 9 Point two is we get into the length of time. 10 me, if you agree on -- tentatively agree on -- search terms 11 on day one, on days seven to ten, you should come back and 12 say those search terms were (indiscernible). It then 13 appeared that there was a very long period of time that it 14 took to get back and say those search terms were wrong (ph). 15 So that's problematic. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 17 MR. MILLER: Your Honor, if we could sort of set 18 the table, as you say, a little bit. Because I think the plan administrator -- well, first of all, I appreciate your 19 20 time today. 21 For the record, I'm Ralph Miller, from --22 THE COURT: Okay. And I do -- unfortunately, I'm 23 going to have a hard stop in a half an hour. 24 MR. MILLER: Okay. 25 THE COURT: Because I have to go up and do

Page 6 1 Judge River's (ph) class at Columbia. 2 MR. MILLER: Okay. We can be very quick, 3 Your Honor. And, to actually move things faster, we pulled 4 together a few extras of what we think are key points about 5 this matter. 6 THE COURT: Do these folks have it? 7 MR. MILLER: They're getting copies of them right 8 this minute. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. MILLER: But these are all things from the 11 record. Tab 1 of this, Your Honor, is a supplemental brief 12 that outlines what the claims components are because there 13 are different issues here --14 THE COURT: Correct. 15 MR. MILLER: -- on these different components. 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. MILLER: There is a -- the largest component is this \$39.6 million --18 19 THE COURT: Right. 20 MR. MILLER: -- for diminution in investment 21 capital. And then, there's about 10 million in damages. 22 THE COURT: In the classic swap settlement amount 23 of damages, right? 24 MR. MILLER: Yeah. Well, actually, this is a 25 little more complicated. They owed money to LBSF, another

Page 7 1 Lehman debtor. 2 THE COURT: Right. MR. MILLER: And they said they would have paid \$6 3 million if they'd gotten this \$10 million draw, which is 4 what this case is all about in 2008. And instead, for the 5 6 next 18 months, they let that ride, and it got to be worth a 7 lot more money. 8 The important point, though, Judge, is they're 9 saying that 6 million of the 10 million is going to be used 10 on the swap and 4 million that was left over was what 11 produced this almost \$40 million in loss. So they have a 12 ten to one multiplier effect that they are seeking here on 13 this not having \$4 million for a particular period of time. 14 And to pay it back. It's not a gift. 15 So, if you look at the next tab, this was --16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me. 17 MR. MILLER: We're looking at these exhibits, which we're now seeing for the first time sitting here. 18 19 These have nothing to do with the discovery of this 20 (indiscernible). We --21 THE COURT: Okay. Here's the thing. Okay? From 22 the papers, it seemed to me that the fact that SBS is claiming the 39 million in damages creates an expanded scope 23 24 of discovery. It's a consequential damage claim. 25 MR. MILLER: We don't concede that. We --

|    | 1 9 0 01 41  |  |
|----|--|--|
|    | Page 8   |  |
| 1  | THE COURT: Well, it's not a swap termination.                |  |
| 2  | MR. MILLER: Of course not. No, no, we're not                 |  |
| 3  | here on  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: So I'm not seeking to attach                      |  |
| 5  | significance if the sticking point is characterizing it      |  |
| 6  | as consequential damages because you don't want to concede   |  |
| 7  | the legal argument about that, then I'll call it something   |  |
| 8  | else.  |  |
| 9  | MR. MILLER: Okay.  |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay?   |  |
| 11 | MR. MILLER: Yes.   |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: If that makes it easier.                          |  |
| 13 | MR. MILLER: Yes.   |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: I'm not seeking to do that. The other             |  |
| 15 | damages  |  |
| 16 | MR. MILLER: Yes, okay.                                       |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: You're seeking other damages. So you              |  |
| 18 | need to produce documents that relate to the other damages.  |  |
| 19 | MR. MILLER: Yes.   |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Right?                                      |  |
| 21 | MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. And again, moving               |  |
| 22 | very quickly through this, Judge Peck recognized this in the |  |
| 23 | excerpt we had here.   |  |
| 24 | And I'm just going to go to the bottom of the                |  |
| 25 | highlight here, where he said that if this ever gets to an   |  |

evidentiary hearing, Spanish Broadcasting will have an extraordinarily difficult time proving causation. And that is the issue about which we are having trouble in discovery is the discovery on the proof of causation.

Tab 3, which we can skip quickly, is the 2 prior extensions, which were -- 3 prior -- 2 prior extensions, which were agreed to, Your Honor. And then, clearly, Tab 4 was the declaration of Mr. Garcia, the CFO. And he explains that this is a diminution by comparison claim.

He says that they're going to compare their performance with the performance of their competitors. And everything that's left over is going to be because they didn't have this \$4 million in 2008.

Well, gee whiz, there's a lot of good news and a lot of bad news in the financial condition of this company. And that's what we're trying to understand.

They made decisions not to buy things. They made decisions where to spend money.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MILLER: They had a lot of money on their books. For all those reasons, for them to now say well, gee whiz, this is a lot of documents we're having to produce -- the point is they've asked for this, Your Honor. And they're going to get 68 percent already. This is their third -- Tab 5.

This particular debtor, Lehman Commercial Paper, is going to distribute over 68 and a fraction percent of claims that are allowed. When you multiply that by the 39.6 million, it's a little over \$27 million. So we're talking about a \$27 million cash claim. And what essentially Spanish Broadcasting has said -- go to the next Tab 6 -- is that they got a search term, which is the key search term, by the way, that produced several hundred thousand preliminary hits. Now, this is before people have looked at them to eliminate the pizza menus and the other junk. And a lot of it is signature lines. THE COURT: So that's what I want to go to. I mean, you say that 80 percent is irrelevant. How do you know that? MR. OTCHIN: Your Honor, we sampled random documents that were retrieved by the search term, and, based on the sampling of the document that we sampled, 80 percent were irrelevant and 20 percent we determined were responsive. THE COURT: Okay. So when did you do that? MR. OTCHIN: We sampled it -- well, after we had reached agreement on the search term, working with Spanish

Broadcasting's outside vendor, you know, it was, you know,

within several weeks of that agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: Well, if you agreed on the search 2 terms on March 5th -- now it's almost the beginning of May. 3 So I'm just -- I'm not accounting for the time. MR. OTCHIN: Well, beyond, you know, taking the 4 5 search terms and, you know, getting to the end point with 6 those documents to review, there was technical issues with 7 respect to what Spanish Broadcasting could process in-house 8 versus, you know, what the vendor had to come in and 9 retrieve -- extract documents and then, you know, run the terms. And, you know, there was a certain technical 10 11 process. 12 THE COURT: But this is where you're going to have 13 to help me. I mean, if you agree that the search is 14 producing junk, then you have to come back and tell Mr. Miller how you're going to refine the search that will 15 16 still produce -- to be clear, I agree with the plan 17 administrator about the documents to which they're entitled. 18 You're making a hugely sweeping claim. You're essentially opening -- you're making a claim that implicates 19 20 the performance of the bank during a particular period of 21 time. So you've got to produce documents that show that. 22 It can't be producing what you --MR. OTCHIN: No, no, we don't disagree with that 23 24 at all, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Yeah. So how do you get that?

|    | Page 12  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | MR. OTCHIN: So, starting at March 5th, which is            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | when we had agreement on the search terms,                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | THE COURT: Right.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | MS. PRIMOFF: they originally thought that in-              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | house they would be able to do this. We look at the search |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | terms. They turned out to be incredibly complicated and    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | beyond the capabilities of Spanish Broadcasting's internal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | people.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | So then, they retained a vendor to run the                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | searches. Until the vendor downloaded the stuff from       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | people's email servers as well as the shared drive, that   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | took a bit of time. They ran the searches.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | It turned out to be problematic over a course of a         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | whole bunch of terms. But there's this one term in         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | particular.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | THE COURT: What's the term?                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | MS. PRIMOFF: It's in                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's in this tab,                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Your Honor. It's highlighted here.                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | MR. MILLER: Do you have the letter that we sent            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | to you today?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | MR. MILLER: If you have the letter that we sent            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | to you today,  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Right.  |  |  |  |  |  |

Page 13 1 MR. MILLER: It's in Footnote 1 for page 2. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So --MR. MILLER: So that term alone has returned in 3 excess of 300,000 documents. 4 5 THE COURT: Right. But here's the part I don't 6 understand. Just because it returns a large number of 7 documents doesn't mean that it's a bad search term. 8 MS. PRIMOFF: We agree. So we sampled the 9 documents. But 80 percent of the documents are completely non-responsive and irrelevant. So we worked with the vendor 10 11 to modify -- to see whether we could develop a modified term that would reduce the number of irrelevant and non-12 13 responsive documents. 14 And that modified term is set forth in Footnote 2. 15 And this is what we --16 THE COURT: Footnote 2 to what? 17 MS. PRIMOFF: To the letter that was sent to 18 Your Honor this morning. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Modified terms step two? 20 MS. PRIMOFF: Consistent with two-step search. 21 And even that search retrieves nearly 40 percent non-22 responsive documents. But we can't seem to do any better 23 than that. So we accept that that's what it needs to be. 24 But the difference between 40 percent non-25 responsive and 80 percent non-responsive is enormous.

- think that the term that's set out in Footnote 2 is an appropriate term.
  - MR. MILLER: The problem with the modified search term, Your Honor, is all the not terms in it. Not terms remove very valuable things. If you take out not present (ph) of operations, correct (ph) of operations or consolidated operations or operations manager, you've essentially excluded certain custodians.

And the same is true not value or evaluate, not viable, be viable condition or liquid (ph). I mean, you know, one of those -- if you have nots on all of those terms, you're punching holes in the search that only humans can deal with.

I mean, a computer can only do so much. And, frankly, a 20 percent yield in a \$27 million case on a key search term is not junk. We're not talking five percent or seven percent. We're talking one out of five.

And they're the plaintiff. And this is their central damage claim. So we just don't think that this is unreasonable.

THE COURT: I just don't -- you're going to have the burden to prove your damages.

MS. PRIMOFF: Yes.

THE COURT: So do you have a universe of documents
-- let's try to back into this. Do you have a universe of

Page 15 1 documents that you think demonstrates your damages? 2 MS. PRIMOFF: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Have you produced those? MS. PRIMOFF: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Do you have those, Mr. Miller? 6 MR. MILLER: We have a production, and the point 7 of whether they show the damages -- what we understand, as I say, that they're doing is a diagnosis by elimination. 8 9 are saying, essentially, you know, we didn't do as well as our peers did. Therefore, it must have been the \$4 million. 10 11 What we don't have is the -- we don't believe that 12 the documents of all the problems they have. That's what we 13 have to get. And that's what we think these search terms 14 are going to be able to help us find. We need to understand what their difficulties 15 16 were. We also need to understand how much other cash they 17 had. 18 One important point --THE COURT: So an alternative theory of causation 19 20 for the damages that they (indiscernible)? 21 MR. MILLER: Yes. And also, Your Honor, they 22 withdrew any damages based on seeking alternate financing. That's the normal direct damages for a failure to fund is so 23 24 you had funding at five percent. And you had to go out and 25 get funding at seven percent. So you got two percent

Page 16 1 increase in damages. That's fine. 2 They've withdrawn that. They didn't seek alternate claims (ph). We think that's because they didn't 3 actually need the financing, because they have a lot of 4 5 assets. 6 They were not capital starved. They had other 7 problems. So it is an alternate theory. 8 Yes, they didn't perform as well as it appears. 9 THE COURT: Well, but --10 MS. PRIMOFF: Well, we did seek alternate 11 financing. It wasn't available in the marketplace. And I 12 think, respectfully, that Mr. Miller misstates the law. 13 The law is not that we produce 1 out of 5 -- or, 14 in this case, 20 percent when 80 percent are non-responsive. 15 That's a classic case for caution. We have demonstrated 16 through sampling that 80 percent of the documents are non-17 responsive. You have to weigh the burden. 18 THE COURT: But you -- but the terms -- I mean, my 19 immediate reaction was to -- if you're going to carve out 20 financial officer (ph), you're going to carve out those terms. You're --21 22 MS. PRIMOFF: But anytime that somebody has a 23 signature block, Your Honor, that says V.P. of operations, 24 that comes up on the original search. Okay? 25 THE COURT: But I don't -- again, this is not -- I

Pg 17 of 41 Page 17 1 am not an expert in VSI discovery. But it seems to me that

there has to be a way of dealing with that problem, isn't there?

MR. MILLER: There is, and Mr. Woolverton is here with us, supervised our review. When stuff pops up on the screen and contract attorneys look at it and they're very fast at being able to zap documents, because it's obviously trash.

But, if it's a long document and it's discussing their financial operations, it needs to go over into a stack and be considered responsive. That's what we're talking about here.

And the fact that I get, again, some higher yield because of signature blocks, I can deal with that.

THE COURT: Understood. I do not understand how it could be appropriate to carve these terms out. And you are gutting the production.

MS. PRIMOFF: Well, it's the guidance here, Your Honor. And, in that balance thing, we're not obligated to, you know, review a document set that's retrieving 80 percent non-responsive documents.

The idea is to have electronic search terms that lead to responsive documents. So it's a balancing and questioning is warranted in circumstances like this if they insist on that level.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 18 1 (Pause) 2 MR. MILLER: Your Honor, one other issue that we 3 want to stress --4 THE COURT: I mean, what's going on, though, is 5 that -- I mean, in the letter that came in today, you say 6 Spanish Broadcasting has produced 600,000 pages of 7 documents, 3 times more than the 200,000 that Lehman has 8 filed (ph). That's completely irrelevant. 9 The relative number of the documents that you've 10 produced is completely irrelevant. But I think that that's 11 part of what's going on is that you feel that the burden is 12 greater on you. But --13 MS. PRIMOFF: No, no, we accept that the burden --14 the documents are what they are. 15 THE COURT: You're making an enormous claim with a 16 very -- with a theory that is, by its nature, somewhat 17 broad. I have no idea of the merits. And the fix for the over-broad seems to me to be 18 it's not a scalpel. I mean, it's a really big knife. And I 19 20 hear you on the balancing, but I don't understand -- then they will then search only the documents --21 22 MR. MILLER: Only what's in step one. And all not 23 terms recorded (ph). 24 THE COURT: Right. So that's narrowing it 25 further.

Page 19 1 MR. MILLER: Your Honor, you mentioned a scalpel. 2 There is a potential scalpel here. THE COURT: Well, I'm looking for the scalpel 3 because that's why --4 MR. MILLER: Okay. Well, it's not --5 6 THE COURT: -- (indiscernible). 7 MR. MILLER: -- something that we've all 8 discussed. But the scalpel is this consequential damage 9 waiver. The only documents that are really relevant to that, we think, are documents between these law firms over a 10 11 so-called payoff letter. And that would have been the drafts. 12 13 And we agree in principle to a protocol for 14 exchanging those. And, if those do not reveal ambiguity in 15 this payoff letter, which they say did away with the 16 consequential damages waiver, then the plan administrator 17 believes it could come to the Court with a letter seeking a 18 summary judgment. 19 If the Court granted summary judgment on that, --20 THE COURT: Then we're done. MR. MILLER: -- then we don't have to look at the 21 22 650,000 documents. We don't have to look at any of this 23 stuff, because we think at that point, we're done. So that's the scalpel, if the Court wants to consider a 24 25 scalpel.

Page 20 1 MS. PRIMOFF: They've made this argument to 2 Judge Peck already in connection with their motion to dismiss. And they didn't prevail on this argument. So 3 we're entitled to discovery. 4 5 MR. MILLER: No. 6 MS. PRIMOFF: Let's talk about the discovery. 7 And, if Your Honor believes that we should --8 THE COURT: Was there a motion to dismiss? 9 MS. PRIMOFF: Yes. And with Judge Peck? 10 THE COURT: No, no, no. 11 MS. PRIMOFF: No, no, no. Judge Peck -- we have judge --12 13 THE COURT: The sufficiency hearing. MS. PRIMOFF: The sufficiency hearing, which the 14 footnotes in your document said was to be determined under 15 16 the standard of a motion to dismiss. 17 MR. MILLER: And, if you look on the second tab, 18 page 1.3 at the bottom, what Judge Peck said was, "I am not 19 going to rule today on the waiver consequential damages, 20 even though I might be able to. Given the benefit of the 21 doubt fully to Spanish Broadcasting under 12(b)(6) standard, 22 they will get their day in court, or we will deal with this on dispositive motions after discovery." 23 24 Now, I suggest that we are now at the point after 25 discovery. If you just get the discovery on this issue,

Page 21 that a dispositive motion comes around (ph). And so, I 1 2 don't think Judge Peck ruled that it was not a summary 3 judgment. He ruled he was not going to do it on 12(b)(6), 4 just to be precise. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Sounds good to me. 6 MS. PRIMOFF: I don't agree with that at all. He 7 denied their motion. He said that we were entitled to discovery. He talked about expert discovery. And to do 8 9 this piecemeal, --10 THE COURT: No, but expert discovery is about the 11 amount of damages. 12 MS. PRIMOFF: Yes. MR. MILLER: Right. 13 14 THE COURT: Right. MS. PRIMOFF: And he ruled that we were entitled 15 16 to prove our amount of damages. 17 THE COURT: He didn't. He said I am not going to 18 rule on the waiver of consequential damages. 19 MS. PRIMOFF: He was reserving it. 20 THE COURT: He gave -- he denied the 12(b)(6) and 21 said they will get their day in court, or we'll deal with 22 this on dispositive motions after discovery. So what 23 Mr. Miller is saying is there has been discovery around the 24 threshold issue. There's a factual issue. Was there a 25 waiver, right?

And now, he wants -- he's saying we'll put in a summary judgment motion saying there are no issues of material disputed fact around that issue. That's what this says, that you, on the 12(b)(6), you'd have the benefit of the doubt on what you pleaded. Right? You survived the motion to dismiss. You stated the claim. Discovery. Next thing that happens is trial or summary judgment. He's saying summary judgment in favor of the plan administrator on the issue that there was a waiver, right? MR. MILLER: That's right. And that will take out, essentially, everything that amounts to anything in this case, we believe. It will take out -- now, I understand they say it's direct damages. But whether it's direct damages or consequential damages is not a part of discovery. THE COURT: It doesn't matter if it's -- right. MR. MILLER: And so, you know -- and, by the way, the fee issue is really a tiny flea on the dog. There's a fee at issue of 300. They asked for 273,000. We think it's really 13,000. Whatever it is, we think the fees we can take care They get it. They would get a refund of any unearned

There's nothing left if consequential damages is

fees that they would try to (indiscernible).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 23 1 resolved, including no need to have discovery on this whole 2 damage area. No need to have experts, which everybody is 3 retaining and is very expensive. THE COURT: Judge peck's comments are in the same 4 5 paragraph. His beginning thought was on the waiver of 6 consequential damages. So it wasn't discovery -- it wasn't 7 going to be all dispositive motions after globally 8 discovery. 9 It seems to me the context seems to suggest that 10 it would be dispositive motions on that threshold issue. So 11 we already did that. 12 MS. PRIMOFF: But, Your Honor, you know, I'm 13 assuming that the transcript in front of Judge Peck was at 14 least 144 pages, of which we have here 4 selected pages. 15 THE COURT: If you want to send me the whole 16 transcript and I'll review the whole transcript and --17 MS. PRIMOFF: I do have it here. THE COURT: -- if it changes my mind, --18 19 MS. PRIMOFF: Okay. 20 THE COURT: -- I'm happy to do that. But, I mean, 21 this is this chicken and egg problem that we all have in 22 these situations. And this seems to me one in which I want 23 to at least explore the ability to do -- dispose of it on 24 the summary judgment. 25 And I'm not -- you know, I think that these folks

Page 24 1 -- you're not here as often. I'm not a big fan of summary 2 judgment motions, because I think most of the time they're not meritorious. 3 4 But this one seems to me to be a good candidate 5 for it, because then I don't have to go, among other 6 reasons, among other reasons, we don't have to go through 7 all that. 8 He may not convince me. In which case we're going 9 to be back to fighting over search terms. 10 But it's inconsistent with the general theme of 11 you're dragging your feet, let's go. Would there not be a 12 suggestion let's do a dispositive motion. So that's why I'm 13 giving it weight, because I think that it wouldn't be 14 suggested if it was something that was designed to just, you 15 know, delay. 16 They're against delay. They say you're in favor 17 of delay. MS. PRIMOFF: You're not in favor of delay. We're 18 19 entitled to \$50 million (indiscernible). 20 THE COURT: Sure, you are. 21 MS. PRIMOFF: Sure, we're entitled to delay. No, 22 we don't want delay. 23 THE COURT: (Indiscernible.) 24 MS. PRIMOFF: We want money. 25 THE COURT: Right. But I'm happy to review the

Page 25 1 whole transcript. 2 MS. PRIMOFF: I mean, the other thing is that 3 typically, there's one summary judgment motion. So, if 4 they're going to make their one, this should be the one. 5 THE COURT: Well, there is one -- I mean, there's 6 not always just one. There's one on the threshold issue of 7 whether or not your legal entitlement was waived. 8 Thank you. 9 MR. MILLER: There's two copies for you and -- and 10 this is actually -- well, this is the excerpt on this part 11 of the hearing. There was other things that day, I think. 12 I think, obviously, you're not going to get it 13 But, just for convenience, let me give a copy to him. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, it's not a written 15 (ph) page (ph). 16 MR. MILLER: I don't know what --17 THE COURT: It's numbered, but that's because 18 there are probably other matters on the calendar. 19 MR. MILLER: Okay. 20 THE COURT: Okay? 21 MR. MILLER: All right. I know you have to go, 22 Your Honor, but --23 THE COURT: I think we ought to do that. I mean, 24 if there's something in here in the rest of the transcript 25 that you think undercuts what it seems to me Judge Peck

Page 26 1 clearly said -- but just independently, whatever -- even if 2 he didn't say that, it seems to me that, if there's a 3 dispositive motion that says here's what happened between 4 the parties. We've all had discovery on that. They waive 5 their claim. 6 MS. PRIMOFF: This is dated February 2013. 7 would like to go back and have the opportunity to review it, 8 Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Sure, that's fine. 10 MS. PRIMOFF: And, if that's how Your Honor wants 11 to proceed, then naturally, we'll proceed as you want. 12 MR. MILLER: I think that makes sense, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I think that's a better way. Mind you, I have no idea how it's going to turn out. I mean, 14 15 I've expressed my general skepticism about summary judgment 16 motions. But sometimes they work. Sometimes they don't. 17 But, in general, without making a decision, I 18 mean, I think that when there's a damage here like this, 19 it's going to be very hard to deal with the discovery issue, 20 because it's so raw (ph). And one answer might be to, you 21 know, have a group of contract attorneys, whoever it is that 22 you're hiring, you know, wallow (ph) through it. I know it's a lot of documents, but cutting it off 23 24 this way would seem to me to be not using a scalpel and 25 would create a risk that things were missed. And I'm not

Page 27 1 doubting the good faith proffer with respect to sampling, 2 but I'm a sampling skeptic. 3 You have to demonstrate to me that your sampling 4 technique -- you know what I'm talking about, don't you, 5 Mr. Miller? 6 MR. MILLER: Yes, I -- we get a lot of sampling 7 cases. 8 THE COURT: Right. You have to demonstrate to me 9 that you are validly sampling. 10 I understand statistical sampling is a valid means 11 of taking a smaller segment and predicting. But, before you 12 say, "Oh, we sampled and look, this is what happened," you 13 have to demonstrate to me that your sampling was valid, 14 right? 15 So I don't get there by simply being told based on 16 our sample, this is no good. I'm not suggesting that it was 17 anything but in good faith. 18 Do you understand? MS. PRIMOFF: Yeah, we're not complaining about 19 20 reviewing a lot of documents. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MS. PRIMOFF: We're complaining about reviewing a lot of --23 THE COURT: Valid. Guess what? You're 24 25 complaining about reviewing a lot of --

Page 28 1 MS. PRIMOFF: Irrelevant documents. But, if we 2 need to give you better sampling, we'll give you better 3 evidence of sampling. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe we won't have to 5 get there at all. 6 Now, you don't think that you've got -- there is 7 no cross-motion for summary judgment? 8 MR. MILLER: Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: You couldn't --10 MR. MILLER: You know, first of all, we rejected 11 the contract. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. MILLER: So we're not saying that we have a defense to whatever damages there are. 14 15 THE COURT: Sure, right. 16 MR. MILLER: We admit liability, if you will. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. MILLER: We just believe that the only damages are about \$15,000 if all she -- all they get is direct 19 20 damages. THE COURT: I'm just confirming that we're going 21 22 to not leave. And then, I was going to get correspondence saying we'll cross move for summary judgment. There is no 23 24 cross-motion for summary judgment. There is their motion 25 saying, as a matter of law, you're not entitled -- an

|    | Page 29  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | undisputed fact you're not entitled to your greater damage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | claim, right?  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | MR. MILLER: That's right, Your Honor.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: You're not going to file a motion back            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | that says, as a matter of law, we're entitled to X amount of |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | damages. If the plan administrator doesn't knock you out     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | entirely, we'll come back to this.                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | MS. PRIMOFF: Understood.                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | THE COURT: Yeah, that's right. That's right.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | MS. MARCUS: One more thing I think we need to                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | deal with, Your Honor.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yes. Well, the 29th? No, not even.                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | MS. MARCUS: The parties have agreed with respect             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | to the discovery items on the waiver. But I don't think      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | we've actually exchanged those documents yet. So we should   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | talk about how much time                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | MR. MILLER: We need  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | MS. MARCUS: (Indiscernible.)                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | MR. MILLER: We have a deadline for that exchange.            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | MS. MARCUS: Okay. And everything else is stayed              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | pending this.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Everything else                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | MS. MARCUS: Pending this.                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | MR. MILLER: Okay.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | What do you think? Two weeks? Three weeks?                   |  |  |  |  |  |

Page 30 1 I mean, what we've agreed, Your Honor, --2 actually, we have an exchange of emails. But what we 3 proposed was email exchanges externally between these firms and their attachments so that we can see what drafts were 4 5 sent in the payoff letter. And this is about the payoff 6 letter, which is --7 THE COURT: Right. 8 MR. MILLER: -- the document that they set aside 9 10 THE COURT: Right. So there aren't attorney sign 11 (ph) issues? MR. MILLER: Well, because these have been 12 external emails, Your Honor. They're all -- we sent them 13 14 what they sent us. These are (indiscernible) and produce 15 our sets in the attachments. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. MILLER: And then, we'll have all the drafts of the payoff letter. We'll have all the emails back and 18 19 forth on the payoff letter. 20 THE COURT: External, not internal? 21 MR. MILLER: And we -- external, not internal. 22 THE COURT: Not internal? Oh, great. MR. MILLER: And we think that's -- we think we 23 can look at that and determine whether there is a summary 24 25 judgment or not.

|    | 1 9 31 01 41   |
|----|--|
|    | Page 31  |
| 1  | THE COURT: Okay. So that's                                 |
| 2  | MR. MILLER: And we've looked at our                        |
| 3  | THE COURT: one step better.                                |
| 4  | MR. MILLER: Yes.   |
| 5  | THE COURT: I mean, you're saying you're not                |
| 6  | you think there is, but you're not sure.                   |
| 7  | MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor, until we complete             |
| 8  | this exchange and they said they wanted to although        |
| 9  | they agreed in principle, they felt that that should wait  |
| 10 | until the general extension and be governed by general     |
| 11 | (indiscernible) discovery.                                 |
| 12 | THE COURT: I gotcha.                                       |
| 13 | MS. PRIMOFF: But we've agreed.                             |
| 14 | THE COURT: I gotcha. Okay. No, but there's a               |
| 15 | fine point to what you're saying, which I like, which is   |
| 16 | that you think that you'll have a summary judgment motion. |
| 17 | MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.                               |
| 18 | THE COURT: And, in good faith, you're going to             |
| 19 | review the documents.                                      |
| 20 | MR. MILLER: Yes.   |
| 21 | THE COURT: And, after you do that, if there's a            |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | MR. MILLER: And we'll let the Court know very              |
| 24 | promptly if we decide there's not.                         |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. And then, we'll have to              |

|    | Page 32  |
|----|--|
| 1  | either we'll have to agree on this, or we'll have to       |
| 2  | reconvene.   |
| 3  | MR. MILLER: Yes. But the question, I guess, is             |
| 4  | can we get agreement on how long we're going to take to    |
| 5  | exchange those emails.                                     |
| 6  | THE COURT: Right, right. Do you not                        |
| 7  | MR. OTCHIN: I think two weeks we should be able            |
| 8  | to do it.  |
| 9  | THE COURT: Two weeks?                                      |
| 10 | MR. MILLER: I'm saying (indiscernible).                    |
| 11 | THE COURT: Right.  |
| 12 | MR. MILLER: But we can get ours in two weeks,              |
| 13 | right? I think we've actually pulled ours, right?          |
| 14 | Okay? So that's good. In two weeks.                        |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay.   |
| 16 | MR. MILLER: And we can very promptly, within,              |
| 17 | say, two weeks, advise the Court on when we're going to be |
| 18 | seeking. And we'll send a letter, as we are supposed to,   |
| 19 | THE COURT: Right.  |
| 20 | MR. MILLER: requesting summary judgment.                   |
| 21 | THE COURT: So this will but this can suffice               |
| 22 | as a 756(1) conference. You could just make a formal       |
| 23 | letter.  |
| 24 | MR. MILLER: Okay.  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Just letting us know what the schedule          |

```
Page 33
 1
      is (indiscernible).
 2
                MR. MILLER: Okay.
 3
                THE COURT: (Indiscernible) a schedule
      (indiscernible) a hearing (indiscernible).
 4
 5
                MR. MILLER: Great.
 6
                THE COURT: All right. So that's a good thing.
 7
     Okay.
 8
                MS. PRIMOFF: Okay.
 9
                THE COURT: Okay.
10
                MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                THE COURT: Thank you very much. I'm going to --
12
                MR. OTCHIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Can I keep all this?
                MR. MILLER: Of course.
14
15
                I appreciate you making time for us, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: Sure, sure.
17
           (Proceedings were concluded at 2:34 PM)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 34 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Nicole Yawn certify that the foregoing transcript is a 4 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Digitally signed by Nicole Yawn Nicole Yawn DN: cn=Nicole Yawn, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US DN: cn=Nicole Yawn, o, ou, 7 Date: 2015.04.29 14:18:20 -04'00' 8 Nicole Yawn 9 10 11 Date: April 29, 2015 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Veritext 22 330 Old Country Road 23 Suite 300 24 Mineola, NY 11501 25

[& - cases] Page 1

| &                           | 4                         | <b>al</b> 1:10                | bankruptcy 1:1,17                |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>&amp;</b> 3:2            | <b>4</b> 7:10,13 9:7,13   | alexander 3:10                | 1:25                             |
| 0                           | 15:10 23:14               | allowed 10:3                  | <b>based</b> 10:17 15:22         |
|                             | <b>40</b> 7:11 13:21,24   | alternate 15:22               | 27:15                            |
| <b>08-01420</b> 1:4         | 5                         | 16:3,7,10                     | <b>beginning</b> 11:2 23:5       |
| <b>08-13555</b> 1:3         |                           | alternative 15:19             | <b>believe</b> 15:11 22:13       |
| 1                           | <b>5</b> 9:25 16:13       | alvarez 3:9                   | 28:18                            |
| <b>1</b> 6:11 13:1 16:13    | <b>50</b> 24:19           | ambiguity 19:14               | <b>believes</b> 19:17 20:7       |
| 32:22                       | <b>55th</b> 3:15          | <b>amount</b> 6:22 21:11      | <b>benefit</b> 20:20 22:4        |
| <b>1.3</b> 20:18            | <b>5th</b> 11:2 12:1      | 21:16 29:5                    | better 13:22 26:13               |
| <b>10</b> 6:21 7:4,9        | 6                         | amounts 22:12                 | 28:2,2 31:3                      |
| <b>10019-9710</b> 3:16      | <b>6</b> 7:3,9 10:7 20:21 | answer 26:20                  | <b>beyond</b> 11:4 12:7          |
| <b>11501</b> 34:24          | 21:3,20 22:4              | anytime 16:22                 | bickering 4:11                   |
| <b>12</b> 20:21 21:3,20     | <b>600,000</b> 18:6       | appeared 5:13                 | <b>big</b> 18:19 24:1            |
| 22:4                        | <b>650,000</b> 19:22      | appearing 3:20                | bit 5:18 12:12                   |
| <b>13,000</b> 22:22         | <b>68</b> 9:24 10:2       | appears 16:8                  | block 16:23                      |
| <b>1300</b> 3:4             | 7                         | appreciate 5:19               | blocks 17:14                     |
| <b>144</b> 23:14            | <b>756</b> 32:22          | 33:15                         | <b>books</b> 9:21                |
| <b>15,000</b> 28:19         | 8                         | appropriate 14:2              | <b>bottom</b> 8:24 20:18         |
| <b>18</b> 7:6               | _                         | 17:16                         | bowling 1:18                     |
| 2                           | 80 10:14,18 13:9,25       | <b>april</b> 1:21 34:11       | <b>brief</b> 6:11                |
| <b>2</b> 9:5,6 13:1,14,16   | 16:14,16 17:20            | area 23:2                     | broad 18:17,18                   |
| 14:1                        | 9                         | <b>argument</b> 8:7 20:1 20:3 | broadcasting 2:1                 |
| <b>20</b> 10:19 14:15       | 900 3:5                   | aside 30:8                    | 3:14 9:1 10:6 11:7<br>18:6 20:21 |
| 16:14                       | a                         | asked 9:23 22:21              | broadcasting's                   |
| <b>200,000</b> 18:7         | ability 23:23             | assets 16:5                   | 10:24 12:7                       |
| <b>20005-3314</b> 3:6       | able 12:5 15:14           | assuming 23:13                | brothers 1:7,13 3:3              |
| <b>2008</b> 7:5 9:13        | 17:7 20:20 32:7           | attach 8:4                    | bunch 12:14                      |
| <b>2013</b> 26:6            | accept 13:23 18:13        | attachments 30:4              | <b>burden</b> 14:22 16:17        |
| <b>2015</b> 1:21 34:11      | accounting 11:3           | 30:15                         | 18:11,13                         |
| <b>250</b> 3:15             | accurate 34:4             | attorney 3:14 30:10           | buy 9:17                         |
| <b>27</b> 1:21 10:4,5 14:15 | address 5:1               | <b>attorneys</b> 3:3 17:6     |                                  |
| <b>273,000</b> 22:21        | administrator 3:3         | 26:21                         | C                                |
| <b>29</b> 34:11             | 5:19 11:17 19:16          | available 16:11               | c 1:24 3:1 4:1 34:1,1            |
| <b>29323</b> 2:3            | 22:10 29:6                | b                             | calendar 25:18                   |
| <b>29th</b> 29:12           | admit 28:16               |                               | call 8:7                         |
| <b>2:03</b> 1:22            | adv 1:4                   | <b>b</b> 1:23 20:21 21:3      | called 19:11                     |
| <b>2:34</b> 33:17           | advise 32:17              | 21:20 22:4                    | candidate 24:4                   |
| 3                           | agree 5:10,10 11:13       | back 5:11,14 7:14             | capabilities 12:7                |
| <b>3</b> 9:5,6 18:7         | 11:16 13:8 19:13          | 11:14 14:25 24:9              | capital 6:21 16:6                |
| <b>300</b> 22:20 34:23      | 21:6 32:1                 | 26:7 29:4,7 30:18             | care 22:22                       |
| <b>300,000</b> 13:4         | <b>agreed</b> 4:18,19 5:2 | bad 9:15 13:7                 | carve 16:19,20                   |
| <b>330</b> 34:22            | 9:7 11:1 29:13 30:1       | balance 17:19                 | 17:16                            |
| <b>39</b> 7:23              | 31:9,13                   | <b>balancing</b> 17:23        | case 1:3,4 7:5 14:15             |
| <b>39.6</b> 6:18 10:3       | agreement 5:4             | 18:20                         | 16:14,15 22:13 24:8              |
| 0.10 10.5                   | 10:23,25 12:2 32:4        | <b>bank</b> 11:20             | cases 27:7                       |
|                             | ,                         |                               |                                  |

[cash - documents] Page 2

| [cush documents]          |                           |                            | 1 450 2                    |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>cash</b> 10:5 15:16    | components 6:12           | 24:23,25 25:5,14,17        | <b>delay</b> 4:12 24:15,16 |
| causation 9:2,4           | 6:15                      | 25:20,23 26:9,13           | 24:17,18,21,22             |
| 15:19                     | computer 14:14            | 27:8,21,24 28:4,9          | demonstrate 27:3,8         |
| caution 16:15             | <b>concede</b> 7:25 8:6   | 28:12,15,17,21 29:4        | 27:13                      |
| central 14:19             | concluded 33:17           | 29:9,12 30:7,10,16         | demonstrated               |
| certain 2:5 11:10         | <b>condition</b> 5:5 9:15 | 30:20,22 31:1,3,5          | 16:15                      |
| 14:8                      | 14:10                     | 31:12,14,18,21,23          | demonstrates 15:1          |
| certify 34:3              | conference 2:1            | 31:25 32:6,9,11,15         | <b>denied</b> 21:7,20      |
| <b>cfo</b> 9:8            | 32:22                     | 32:17,19,21,25 33:3        | denise 3:9                 |
| changes 23:18             | confirming 28:21          | 33:6,9,11,13,16            | designed 24:14             |
| chapman 1:24              | connection 20:2           | create 26:25               | determine 30:24            |
| characterizing 8:5        | consequential 7:24        | creates 7:23               | determined 10:19           |
| chicken 23:21             | 8:6 19:8,16 20:19         | cross 28:7,23,24           | 20:15                      |
| circumstances             | 21:18 22:15,25 23:6       | custodians 14:8            | <b>develop</b> 13:11       |
| 17:24                     | consider 19:24            | cutting 26:23              | diagnosis 15:8             |
| claim 2:4,5 7:24 9:9      | considered 17:11          |                            | difference 13:24           |
| 10:5 11:18,19 14:19       | consistent 13:20          | d                          | different 6:13,15          |
| 18:15 22:7 26:5           | consolidated 14:7         | <b>d</b> 4:1               | difficult 9:2              |
| 29:2                      | context 23:9              | <b>damage</b> 7:24 14:19   | difficulties 15:15         |
| claiming 7:23             | contract 17:6 26:21       | 19:8 23:2 26:18            | diminution 6:20 9:9        |
| claims 2:4 6:12 10:3      | 28:11                     | 29:1                       | direct 15:23 22:14         |
| 16:3                      | convenience 25:13         | <b>damages</b> 6:21,23     | 22:15 28:19                |
| class 6:1                 | convenience 23.13         | 7:23 8:6,15,17,18          |                            |
|                           |                           | 14:22 15:1,7,20,22         | disagree 11:23             |
| classic 6:22 16:15        | copies 6:7 25:9           | 15:23 16:1 19:16           | <b>discovery</b> 2:1 7:19  |
| clear 11:16               | copy 25:13                | 20:19 21:11,16,18          | 7:24 9:3,4 17:1            |
| clearly 9:7 26:1          | corporation 1:10          | 22:14,15,15,25 23:6        | 20:4,6,23,25,25            |
| colleague 4:23            | <b>correct</b> 6:14 14:6  | 28:14,18,20 29:6           | 21:8,8,10,22,23            |
| <b>columbia</b> 6:1       | correspondence            | <b>date</b> 34:11          | 22:7,16 23:1,6,8           |
| come 5:11 11:8,14         | 28:22                     | dated 26:6                 | 26:4,19 29:14 31:11        |
| 19:17 29:7                | <b>country</b> 34:22      | day 5:11 20:22             | discussed 19:8             |
| comes 16:24 21:1          | course 8:2 12:13          | 21:21 25:11                | discussing 17:9            |
| coming 4:7                | 33:14                     | days 5:11                  | dismiss 20:3,8,16          |
| comments 23:4             | court 1:1,17 4:3,7        | <b>dc</b> 3:6              | 22:6                       |
| commercial 10:1           | 4:22,25 5:2,7,22,25       | <b>deadline</b> 4:14 29:19 | dispose 23:23              |
| company 9:15              | 6:6,9,14,16,19,22         | <b>deal</b> 14:13 17:14    | dispositive 20:23          |
| compare 9:10              | 7:2,21 8:1,4,10,12        | 20:22 21:21 26:19          | 21:1,22 23:7,10            |
| comparison 9:9            | 8:14,17,20 9:19           | 29:11                      | 24:12 26:3                 |
| competitors 9:11          | 10:13,21 11:1,12,25       | dealing 17:2               | disputed 22:3              |
| complaining 27:19         | 12:3,16,22,25 13:2        | <b>debtor</b> 1:8 7:1 10:1 | distribute 10:2            |
| 27:22,25                  | 13:5,16,19 14:21,24       | decide 31:24               | district 1:2               |
| <b>complete</b> 4:14 31:7 | 15:3,5,19 16:9,18         | decision 26:17             | doc 2:3                    |
| completely 13:9           | 16:25 17:15 18:4,15       | decisions 9:17,18          | <b>document</b> 10:18      |
| 18:8,10                   | 18:24 19:3,6,17,19        | declaration 9:8            | 17:9,20 20:15 30:8         |
| complicated 6:25          | 19:20,24 20:8,10,13       | defendant 1:14             | documents 8:18             |
| 12:6                      | 20:22 21:5,10,14,17       | defense 28:14              | 9:22 10:17 11:6,9          |
| component 6:17            | 21:20,21 22:17 23:4       | definitive 4:13            | 11:17,21 13:4,7,9,9        |
|                           | 23:15,18,20 24:20         |                            | 13:13,22 14:24 15:1        |
|                           |                           |                            | l                          |

[documents - honor] Page 3

| [documents nonor]               |                            |                             | r age 3                    |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 15:12 16:16 17:7,21             | <b>excerpt</b> 8:23 25:10  | <b>financial</b> 9:15 16:20 | 10:2 11:12,15 14:21        |
| 17:23 18:7,9,14,21              | excess 13:4                | 17:10                       | 15:14 16:19,20 18:4        |
| 19:9,10,22 26:23                | exchange 29:19             | financing 15:22             | 18:11 20:19 21:3,17        |
| 27:20 28:1 29:15                | 30:2 31:8 32:5             | 16:4,11                     | 23:7 24:8 25:4,12          |
| 31:19                           | exchanged 29:15            | <b>find</b> 15:14           | 26:14,19 28:21,22          |
| doesn't 13:7                    | exchanges 30:3             | <b>fine</b> 16:1 26:9 31:15 | 29:4 31:18 32:4,17         |
| <b>dog</b> 22:19                | exchanging 19:14           | <b>firm</b> 4:14            | 33:11                      |
| <b>doing</b> 15:8               | excluded 14:8              | firm's 4:4                  | <b>good</b> 4:2 9:14 21:5  |
| doubt 20:21 22:5                | excuse 7:16                | <b>firms</b> 19:10 30:3     | 24:4 27:1,16,17            |
| doubting 27:1                   | exhibits 7:17              | <b>first</b> 4:3 5:19 7:18  | 31:18 32:14 33:6           |
| downloaded 12:10                | expanded 7:23              | 28:10                       | <b>gotcha</b> 31:12,14     |
| <b>drafts</b> 19:12 30:4        | expensive 23:3             | <b>five</b> 14:16,17 15:24  | gotshal 3:2                |
| 30:17                           | <b>expert</b> 17:1 21:8,10 | <b>fix</b> 18:18            | gotten 7:4                 |
| dragging 24:11                  | experts 23:2               | <b>flea</b> 22:19           | governed 31:10             |
| draw 7:4                        | explains 9:8               | folks 6:6 23:25             | granted 19:19              |
| <b>drive</b> 12:11              | explore 23:23              | <b>footnote</b> 13:1,14,16  | great 30:22 33:5           |
| e                               | expressed 26:15            | 14:1                        | greater 18:12 29:1         |
|                                 | extension 31:10            | footnotes 20:15             | green 1:18                 |
| e 1:23,23 3:1,1 4:1,1           | extensions 9:6,6           | foregoing 34:3              | <b>group</b> 26:21         |
| 34:1                            | <b>external</b> 30:13,20   | <b>formal</b> 32:22         | guess 27:24 32:3           |
| easier 8:12                     | 30:21                      | <b>forth</b> 13:14 30:19    | guidance 17:18             |
| effect 7:12                     | externally 30:3            | fraction 10:2               | gutting 17:17              |
| egg 23:21                       | extract 11:9               | frankly 14:15               | h                          |
| eighth 2:3                      | extraordinarily 9:2        | <b>front</b> 23:13          |                            |
| either 32:1<br>electronic 17:22 | extras 6:4                 | <b>fully</b> 20:21          | half 5:23<br>handle 4:24   |
| eliminate 10:10                 | <b>eye</b> 3:4             | <b>fund</b> 15:23           |                            |
| elimination 15:8                | f                          | <b>funding</b> 15:24,25     | <b>happened</b> 26:3 27:12 |
| email 12:11 30:3                | <b>f</b> 1:23 34:1         | further 18:25               | happens 22:7               |
| emails 30:2,13,18               | fact 7:22 17:13 22:3       | g                           | happy 23:20 24:25          |
| 32:5                            | 29:1                       | <b>g</b> 4:1                | hard 5:23 26:19            |
| enormous 13:25                  | <b>factual</b> 21:24       | garcia 9:8                  | hear 18:20                 |
| 18:15                           | failure 15:23              | gee 9:14,21                 | hearing 2:1,3 4:24         |
| entirely 29:7                   | faith 27:1,17 31:18        | general 24:10 26:15         | 9:1 20:13,14 25:11         |
| entitled 11:17 20:4             | fan 24:1                   | 26:17 31:10,10              | 33:4                       |
| 21:7,15 24:19,21                | fast 17:7                  | getting 6:7 11:5            | help 11:13 15:14           |
| 28:25 29:1,5                    | faster 6:3                 | gift 7:14                   | higher 17:13               |
| entitlement 25:7                | favor 22:9 24:16,18        | give 25:13 28:2,2           | highlight 8:25             |
| esq 3:8,9,10,11,18              | <b>february</b> 26:6       | given 20:20                 | highlighted 12:19          |
| essentially 10:6                | fee 22:19,20               | giving 24:13                | hiring 26:22               |
| 11:19 14:8 15:9                 | feel 18:11                 | gleich 3:18                 | hits 10:9                  |
| 22:12                           | fees 22:22,24              | globally 23:7               | holes 14:12                |
| et 1:10                         | feet 24:11                 | go 4:12 5:25 8:24           | hon 1:24                   |
| evaluate 14:9                   | <b>felt</b> 31:9           | 10:7,13 15:24 17:10         | honor 4:21 5:17 6:3        |
| everybody 23:2                  | fighting 24:9              | 24:5,6,11 25:21             | 6:11 8:21 9:7,23           |
| evidence 28:3                   | file 29:4                  | 26:7                        | 10:16 11:24 12:19          |
| evidentiary 9:1                 | <b>filed</b> 18:8          | going 4:24 5:23 7:9         | 13:18 14:4 15:21           |
| J. 1                            | 10.0                       | 8:24 9:10,12,24             | 16:23 17:19 18:2           |
|                                 |                            | 0.27 7.10,12,24             | 10.23 17.17 10.2           |

[honor - need] Page 4

|                         |                                  |                            | 1                          |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 19:1 20:7 23:12         | <b>joe</b> 4:23                  | level 4:10 17:25           | 16:12 17:4 18:2,22         |
| 25:22 26:8,10,12        | <b>joseph</b> 3:21               | <b>liability</b> 2:4 28:16 | 19:1,5,7,21 20:5,17        |
| 28:8 29:3,11 30:1       | <b>judge</b> 1:25 6:1 7:8        | lines 10:12                | 21:13,23 22:11,18          |
| 30:13 31:7,17 33:10     | 8:22 20:2,9,11,12                | <b>liquid</b> 14:10        | 25:9,16,19,21 26:12        |
| 33:12,15                | 20:18 21:2 23:4,13               | little 5:18 6:25 10:4      | 27:5,6 28:8,10,13          |
| hour 5:23               | 25:25                            | <b>llp</b> 3:2,13          | 28:16,18 29:3,17,19        |
| house 11:7 12:5         | <b>judgment</b> 19:18,19         | long 5:13 17:9 32:4        | 29:24 30:8,12,17,21        |
| <b>hugely</b> 11:18     | 21:3 22:2,8,9 23:24              | look 7:15 12:5 17:6        | 30:23 31:2,4,7,17          |
| <b>humans</b> 14:12     | 24:2 25:3 26:15                  | 19:21,22 20:17             | 31:20,23 32:3,10,12        |
| <b>hundred</b> 2:3 10:9 | 28:7,23,24 30:25                 | 27:12 30:24                | 32:16,20,24 33:2,5         |
| i                       | 31:16 32:20                      | <b>looked</b> 10:10 31:2   | 33:10,14                   |
| idea 17:22 18:17        | <b>junk</b> 10:11 11:14          | <b>looking</b> 7:17 19:3   | <b>million</b> 6:18,21 7:4 |
| 26:14                   | 14:16                            | loss 4:4 7:11              | 7:4,9,9,10,11,13,23        |
| immediate 16:19         | k                                | <b>lot</b> 7:7 9:14,15,20  | 9:13 10:4,4,5 14:15        |
| implicates 11:19        | kaye 3:13                        | 9:22 10:12 16:4            | 15:10 24:19                |
| important 7:8           | keep 33:13                       | 26:23 27:6,20,23,25        | mind 23:18 26:13           |
| 15:18                   | keep 33:13<br>key 6:4 10:8 14:15 | m                          | mineola 34:24              |
| including 23:1          | <b>knife</b> 18:19               | madlyn 3:18                | minute 6:8                 |
| inconsistent 24:10      | knock 29:6                       | making 11:18,19            | <b>missed</b> 26:25        |
| increase 16:1           | know 4:12,15 10:15               | 18:15 26:17 33:15          | misstates 16:12            |
| incredibly 12:6         | 10:24,24 11:4,5,8,9              | manager 14:7               | <b>modified</b> 13:11,14   |
| independently 26:1      | 11:10 14:11 15:9                 | manager 14.7<br>manges 3:2 | 13:19 14:3                 |
| indiscernible 5:3,12    | 17:20 22:18 23:12                | march 11:2 12:1            | <b>modify</b> 13:11        |
| 7:20 15:20 19:6         | 23:25 24:15 25:16                | marcus 3:11 29:10          | money 6:25 7:7             |
| 22:24 24:19,23          | 25:21 26:21,22,23                | 29:13,18,20,23             | 9:18,20 24:24              |
| 29:18 30:14 31:11       | 27:4 28:10 31:23                 | marketplace 16:11          | months 7:6                 |
| 32:10 33:1,3,4,4        | 32:25                            | material 22:3              | morning 13:18              |
| insist 17:25            |                                  | matter 1:6 6:5             | <b>motion</b> 20:2,8,16    |
| internal 12:7 30:20     | 1                                | 22:17 28:25 29:5           | 21:1,7 22:2,6 24:12        |
| 30:21,22                | large 13:6                       | matters 25:18              | 25:3 26:3 28:7,24          |
| investment 6:20         | largest 6:17                     | mean 10:14 11:13           | 28:24 29:4 31:16           |
| investment 0.20         | law 4:4 16:12,13                 | 13:7 14:10,14 16:18        | motions 20:23              |
| irrelevant 10:14,19     | 19:10 28:25 29:5                 | 18:4.5,19 23:20            | 21:22 23:7,10 24:2         |
| 13:10,12 18:8,10        | <b>lbsf</b> 6:25                 | 25:2,5,14,23 26:14         | 26:16                      |
| 28:1                    | <b>lead</b> 4:17 17:23           | 26:18 30:1 31:5            | <b>move</b> 6:3 28:23      |
| issue 9:3 18:2 20:25    | leave 28:22                      | means 27:10                | moving 8:21                |
| 21:24,24 22:3,10,19     | <b>left</b> 7:10 9:12 22:25      | mentioned 19:1             | multiplier 7:12            |
| 22:20 23:10 25:6        | legal 8:7 25:7                   | menus 10:11                | multiply 10:3              |
| 26:19                   | <b>lehman</b> 1:7,13 3:3         | meritorious 24:3           | n                          |
| issues 4:14 6:13        | 7:1 10:1 18:7                    | merito 10us 24.3           | n 3:1 4:1 34:1             |
| 11:6 22:2 30:11         | length 5:9                       | miller 3:8 5:17,21         | narrowing 18:24            |
| items 29:14             | <b>letter</b> 12:20,23           | 5:24 6:2,7,10,15,17        | naturally 26:11            |
|                         | 13:17 18:5 19:11,15              | 6:20,24 7:3,17,25          | naturally 20.11            |
| j                       | 19:17 30:5,6,18,19               | 8:2,9,11,13,16,19          | nearly 13:21               |
| jacqueline 3:11         | 32:18,23                         | 8:21 9:20 11:15            | need 8:18 15:15,16         |
| <b>jess</b> 4:2         | letting 32:25                    | 12:20,23 13:1,3            | 16:4 23:1,2 28:2           |
|                         |                                  | 14:3 15:5,6,21             | 29:10,17                   |
|                         |                                  | 17.3 13.3,0,21             | 27.10,17                   |

[needs - reserving] Page 5

| needs 13:23 17:10                          | n                                      | pleaded 22:5              | proffer 27:1                |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| new 1:2,19,19 3:16                         | <b>p</b>                               | pm 1:22 33:17             | promptly 31:24              |
| news 9:14,15                               | <b>p</b> 3:1,1 4:1                     | point 5:2,8,9 7:8 8:5     | 32:16                       |
| nicole 2:25 34:3,8                         | <b>page</b> 13:1 20:18                 | 9:23 11:5 15:6,18         | proof 9:4                   |
| <b>non</b> 13:10,12,21,24                  | 25:15                                  | 19:23 20:24 31:15         | proposed 30:3               |
| 13:25 16:14,16                             | pages 18:6 23:14,14                    | points 6:4                | protection 1:10             |
| 17:21                                      | <b>paid</b> 7:3                        | pops 17:5                 | protocol 19:13              |
| normal 15:23                               | <b>paper</b> 10:1                      | potential 19:2            | <b>prove</b> 14:22 21:16    |
| nots 14:11                                 | papers 7:22                            | precise 21:4              | proving 9:2                 |
| number 13:6,12                             | paragraph 23:5                         | predicting 27:11          | pulled 6:3 32:13            |
| 18:9                                       | part 13:5 18:11                        | preliminary 10:9          | punching 14:12              |
| numbered 25:17                             | 22:15 25:10                            | present 14:5              | put 22:1                    |
| nw 3:4                                     | particular 7:13                        | prevail 20:3              |                             |
| ny 3:16 34:24                              | 10:1 11:20 12:15                       | primoff 3:18 4:23         | q                           |
| 0  | parties 26:4 29:13                     | 5:1,4 12:4,17 13:8        | question 32:3               |
| _  | <b>pause</b> 18:1                      | 13:17,20 14:23 15:2       | questioning 17:24           |
| o 1:23 4:1 34:1                            | pay 7:14                               | 15:4 16:10,22 17:18       | quick 6:2                   |
| objection 2:4                              | payoff 19:11,15                        | 18:13 20:1,6,9,11         | <b>quickly</b> 8:22 9:5     |
| obligated 17:19                            | 30:5,5,18,19                           | 20:14 21:6,12,15,19       | r                           |
| obvious 4:11                               | peck 8:22 20:2,9,11                    | 23:12,17,19 24:18         | r 1:23 3:1 4:1 34:1         |
| obviously 17:7                             | 20:18 21:2 23:13                       | 24:21,24 25:2 26:6        | <b>ralph</b> 3:8 5:21       |
| 25:12                                      | 25:25                                  | 26:10 27:19,22 28:1       | ran 12:12                   |
| officer 16:20                              | peck's 23:4                            | 29:8 31:13 33:8           | random 10:16                |
| oh 27:12 30:22                             | peers 15:10                            | principle 19:13           | <b>raw</b> 26:20            |
| okay 4:25 5:7,7,22                         | pending 29:21,23                       | 31:9                      | reached 10:23               |
| 5:24 6:2,9 7:21,21                         | people 10:10 12:8                      | <b>prior</b> 9:5,6,6      | reaction 16:19              |
| 8:9,10,16,20 10:21                         | people's 12:11                         | probably 25:18            | <b>read</b> 4:9             |
| 13:2,19 16:24 19:5                         | percent 9:24 10:2                      | <b>problem</b> 14:3 17:2  | <b>really</b> 4:10,15 18:19 |
| 23:19 25:14,19,20                          | 10:14,18,19 13:9,21                    | 23:21                     | 19:9 22:19,21               |
| 28:4 29:20,24 30:16<br>31:1,14 32:14,15,24 | 13:24,25 14:15,16<br>14:17 15:24,25,25 | problematic 5:6,15        | reasons 9:21 24:6,6         |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·      | 16:14,14,16 17:21                      | 12:13                     | recognized 8:22             |
| 33:2,7,8,9                                 | perform 16:8                           | problems 15:12            | reconvene 32:2              |
| old 34:22                                  | performance 9:11                       | 16:7                      | <b>record</b> 5:21 6:11     |
| omnibus 2:3<br>opening 11:19               | 9:11 11:20                             | <b>proceed</b> 26:11,11   | 34:4                        |
| operations 14:6,6,7                        | period 5:13 7:13                       | proceedings 33:17         | recorded 18:23              |
| 14:7 16:23 17:10                           | 11:20                                  | 34:4                      | reduce 13:12                |
| opportunity 26:7                           | <b>ph</b> 5:14 6:1 14:6,6              | <b>process</b> 11:7,11    | <b>refine</b> 11:15         |
| original 16:24                             | 14:10 16:3,20 18:8                     | <b>produce</b> 8:18 9:22  | <b>refund</b> 22:23         |
| originally 12:4                            | 18:23 21:1 25:15,15                    | 11:16,21 16:13            | reiterate 4:16              |
| otchin 3:21 4:23                           | 26:20,22 30:11                         | 30:14                     | rejected 28:10              |
| 10:16,22 11:4,23                           | <b>piecemeal</b> 21:9                  | <b>produced</b> 7:11 10:8 | relate 8:18                 |
| 12:1 32:7 33:12                            | pizza 10:10                            | 15:3 18:6,10              | relative 18:9               |
| ought 25:23                                | plaintiff 14:18                        | producing 11:14,22        | relevant 19:9               |
| outlines 6:12                              | plaintiffs 1:11                        | <b>production</b> 15:6    | remove 14:5                 |
| outside 10:24                              | plan 3:3 5:19 11:16                    | 17:17                     | requesting 32:20            |
| owed 6:25                                  | 19:16 22:9 29:6                        | productive 4:13           | reserving 21:19             |
| 0.20                                       |  |                           |                             |
|  |  | ral Calutions             |                             |

[resolution - terms] Page 6

| resolution 4:14 sampling                     | shared 12:11                              | sufficiency 20:13,14      |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| <b>resolved</b> 23:1 16:16 2                 | 27:1,2,3,6,9 <b>shelley</b> 1:24          | <b>suggest</b> 20:24 23:9 |
| <b>respect</b> 11:7 27:1 27:10,1             | 3 28:2,3 <b>show</b> 11:21 15:7           | suggested 24:14           |
| 29:13 <b>saying</b>                          | 7:9 15:9 <b>sign</b> 30:10                | suggesting 27:16          |
| <b>respectfully</b> 16:12 21:23 2            | 2:1,2,9 <b>signature</b> 10:12            | suggestion 24:12          |
| <b>responsible</b> 4:11 28:13,2              | 23,25 31:5,15 16:23 17:14                 | <b>suite</b> 3:5 34:23    |
| <b>responsive</b> 10:20 32:10                | significance 8:5                          | <b>summary</b> 19:18,19   |
| 13:10,13,22,25,25 <b>says</b> 9:1            | 0 16:23 <b>simply</b> 27:15               | 21:2 22:2,8,9 23:24       |
| 16:14,17 17:11,21 22:4 26                    | 5:3 29:5 <b>sitting</b> 7:18              | 24:1 25:3 26:15           |
| 17:23 <b>sbs</b> 7:22                        | situations 23:22                          | 28:7,23,24 30:24          |
| rest 25:24 scalpel                           | 18:19 19:1,2 <b>skeptic</b> 27:2          | 31:16 32:20               |
|  | 24,25 26:24 <b>skepticism</b> 26:15       | supervised 17:5           |
| retaining 23:3 scc 1:3,                      | _   | supplemental 6:11         |
|  | 32:25 33:3 <b>smaller</b> 27:11           | supposed 32:18            |
| retrieved 10:17 scholer                      | 3:13 <b>solely</b> 2:4                    | sure 6:16 9:19            |
| retrieves 13:21 scope 7                      |   | 24:20,21 26:9 28:15       |
| retrieving 17:20 screen                      |   | 31:6 33:16,16             |
|  | 4:18,19 5:5 <b>sorry</b> 4:3              | survived 22:6             |
|  | 2,14 10:7,8   <b>sort</b> 5:17            | swap 6:22 7:10 8:1        |
|  | 3 11:1,5,13   <b>sounds</b> 21:5          | sweeping 11:18            |
|  | 2:2,5 13:7 <b>southern</b> 1:2            | t                         |
| ,  | 21 14:3,12,16   <b>spanish</b> 2:1 3:14 9 | 0.1                       |
|  | 6:24 17:22 10:6,23 11:7 12:7              | , t 34:1,1                |
| reviewing 27:20,22 18:21 2                   |   | tab 6:11 /:15 9:5,/       |
|  | 12:10,12 <b>speaker</b> 4:2,5,6,2         | 9:25 10:7 12:18           |
| ride 7:6 second                              |   | 20:17                     |
| <b>right</b> 4:19,20 6:7,19 <b>securitie</b> |   | table 5:18                |
|  | 13:11 30:4 <b>spend</b> 9:18              | take 4:10 14:5            |
| 12:25 13:2,5 18:24 <b>seeing</b> 7           | -   | 22:11,13,22 32:4          |
| 21:13,14,25 22:5,10 seek 16                  |   | talk 20:6 29:16           |
|  | 7:12 8:4,14 <b>starting</b> 12:1          | taiked 21:8               |
| ,  | starved 16:6                              | talking 10:4 14:16        |
| 28:15 29:2,3,9,9 32:18                       | stated 22:6                               | 14:17 17:11 27:4          |
| 30:7,10 31:25 32:6 segment                   |   | technical 11:6,10         |
| 32:6,11,13,13,19 selected                    |   | technique 27:4            |
|  | :15 32:18 <b>stayed</b> 29:20             | tell 11:14                |
| risk 26:25 sense 20                          | _   | ten 5:11 7:12             |
|  | 20,23 13:17 31:3                          | tentatively 5:10          |
| <b>road</b> 34:22 30:5,13                    | , I                                       | term 10:7,8,17,23         |
| rule 20:19 21:18 servers                     | ,   | 12:14,16 13:3,7,11        |
|  | 13:14 14:1 <b>street</b> 3:4,15           | 13:14 14:1,2,4,16         |
| <b>run</b> 11:9 12:9 17:20 3                 |   | termination 8:1           |
| s sets 30:                                   |   | terms 4:11,19,19          |
| cattlama                                     |   | 5:2,10,12,14 11:2,5       |
| \$ 3:1 4:1                                   | :11 14:17 <b>subject</b> 5:4              | 11:10 12:2,6,14           |
| sample 27:16                                 | <b>suffice</b> 32:21                      | 13:19 14:4,4,12           |
| <b>sampled</b> 10:16,18                      |   | 15:13 16:18,21            |
| 10:22 13:8 27:12                             | Variant Lagal Calutions                   | 17:16,22 18:23 24:9       |

[thank - zap] Page 7

| thank 4:5,6,7 21:5   | twenty 2:3  | 24:22,24 26:11   |
|--|---|--|
| 25:8 33:10,11,12   | two 5:9 13:19,20  | wanted 31:8  |
| theme 24:10  | 15:25 25:9 29:25  | wants 19:24 22:1   |
| theory 15:19 16:7  | 32:7,9,12,14,17   | 26:10  |
| 18:16  | typically 25:3  | warranted 17:24  |
| thing 4:16 7:21  |   | washington 3:6   |
| 17:19 22:7 25:2  | u   | way 10:8 17:2 22:18  |
| 29:10 33:6   | <b>u.s.</b> 1:17,25   | 26:13,24   |
| things 6:3,10 9:17   | undercuts 25:25   | we've 19:7 26:4  |
| 14:5 25:11 26:25   | understand 4:18   | 29:15 30:1 31:2,13   |
| think 5:18 6:4 14:1  | 9:16 13:6 15:7,15   | 32:13  |
| 14:19 15:1,13 16:3   | 15:16 17:15 18:20   | weeks 10:25 29:25  |
| 16:12 18:10 19:10  | 22:14 27:10,18  | 29:25 32:7,9,12,14   |
| 19:23 21:2 22:21,22  | understood 17:15  | 32:17  |
| 23:25 24:2,13 25:11  | 29:8  | weigh 16:17  |
| 25:12,23,25 26:12  | undisputed 29:1   | weight 24:13   |
| 26:13,18 28:6 29:10  | unearned 22:23  | weight 24.13<br>weil 3:2   |
| 29:14,25 30:23,23  | unfortunately 5:22  | west 3:15  |
| 31:6,16 32:7,13  | unidentified 4:2,5,6  | whiz 9:14,22   |
| third 9:25   | 4:21 5:16 7:16  | withdrawn 16:2   |
| thought 12:4 23:5  | 12:18 29:22   | withdrawn 10.2<br>withdrew 15:22   |
| thousand 10:9  | united 1:1  | woolverton 3:10  |
| three 2:3 29:25  | <b>universe</b> 14:24,25  | 17:4   |
| threshold 21:24  | unreasonable 14:20  | work 26:16   |
| 23:10 25:6   | v   | worked 13:10   |
| time 5:9,13,20 7:13  | v 1:12  | working 10:23  |
| 7:18 9:2 11:3,21   | <b>v.p.</b> 16:23   | worth 7:6  |
| 12:12 24:2 29:16   | <b>valid</b> 27:10,13,24  | written 25:14  |
| 33:15  | validly 27:9  | wrong 5:14   |
| <b>times</b> 18:7  | valuable 14:5   | X  |
| tiny 22:19   | value 14:9  | Α  |
| •  | value 14.7  | 1.50.15.20.5   |
| today 4:7 5:20   | value 14.9<br>vendor 10:24 11:8   | x 1:5,9,15 29:5  |
| today 4:7 5:20<br>12:21,24 18:5 20:19  |   | x 1:5,9,15 29:5<br>y   |
|  | <b>vendor</b> 10:24 11:8  |  |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19  | <b>vendor</b> 10:24 11:8 12:9,10 13:10  | y  |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 <b>told</b> 27:15  | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21  | y<br>yawn 2:25 34:3,8  |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19<br>told 27:15<br>transcribed 2:25  | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8   | yawn 2:25 34:3,8<br>yeah 4:22 6:24   |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19<br>told 27:15<br>transcribed 2:25<br>transcript 23:13,16   | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10  | y<br>yawn 2:25 34:3,8<br>yeah 4:22 6:24<br>11:25 12:22 27:19   |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19<br>told 27:15<br>transcribed 2:25<br>transcript 23:13,16<br>23:16 25:1,24 34:3   | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1  | y<br>yawn 2:25 34:3,8<br>yeah 4:22 6:24<br>11:25 12:22 27:19<br>27:21 29:9                             |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19<br>told 27:15<br>transcribed 2:25<br>transcript 23:13,16<br>23:16 25:1,24 34:3<br>trash 17:8   | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9  | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13                       |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19<br>told 27:15<br>transcribed 2:25<br>transcript 23:13,16<br>23:16 25:1,24 34:3<br>trash 17:8<br>trial 22:7   | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9<br>waive 26:4  | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3   | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9<br>waive 26:4<br>waived 25:7   | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16   |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3 true 14:9 34:4  | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9<br>waive 26:4<br>waived 25:7<br>waiver 19:9,16                                       | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3 true 14:9 34:4 try 4:10,13 14:25 22:24 trying 9:16            | vendor 10:24 11:8 12:9,10 13:10 veritext 34:21 versus 11:8 viable 14:10,10 vsi 17:1  w wait 31:9 waive 26:4 waived 25:7 waiver 19:9,16 20:19 21:18,25   | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3 true 14:9 34:4 try 4:10,13 14:25 22:24 trying 9:16 turn 26:14 | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9<br>waive 26:4<br>waived 25:7<br>waiver 19:9,16                                       | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3 true 14:9 34:4 try 4:10,13 14:25 22:24 trying 9:16            | vendor 10:24 11:8 12:9,10 13:10 veritext 34:21 versus 11:8 viable 14:10,10 vsi 17:1  w  wait 31:9 waive 26:4 waived 25:7 waiver 19:9,16 20:19 21:18,25 22:10 23:5 29:14 wallow 26:22                      | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |
| 12:21,24 18:5 20:19 told 27:15 transcribed 2:25 transcript 23:13,16 23:16 25:1,24 34:3 trash 17:8 trial 22:7 trouble 9:3 true 14:9 34:4 try 4:10,13 14:25 22:24 trying 9:16 turn 26:14 | vendor 10:24 11:8<br>12:9,10 13:10<br>veritext 34:21<br>versus 11:8<br>viable 14:10,10<br>vsi 17:1<br>w<br>wait 31:9<br>waive 26:4<br>waived 25:7<br>waiver 19:9,16<br>20:19 21:18,25<br>22:10 23:5 29:14 | y yawn 2:25 34:3,8 yeah 4:22 6:24 11:25 12:22 27:19 27:21 29:9 yield 14:15 17:13 york 1:2,19,19 3:16 z |